



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,475	11/21/2003	Nicholas S. Huslak	030339 (BLL-0117)	3632
36192	7590	08/28/2007		
CANTOR COLBURN LLP - BELLSOUTH			EXAMINER	
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH			BLACK, LINH	
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2163	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/719,475	HUSLAK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LINH BLACK	2163	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LINH BLACK. (3) _____.

(2) SUSANNE GAGNON. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2, 5 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Brown et al. (6658415) and Levitt (US 20020151327).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Clarify the meaning of the limitation "adaptive" especially in independent claims 1, 11, and 21. There seems to be missing steps in claim 2 between the process of comparing...and presenting in which the steps seem to be presented in claim 5's language. Claim 1 is very broad and Examiner recommended Applicants to amend the independent claims' language.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



WILSON LEE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required